

1

2

3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 01-0284 MHP

7 Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER REVOKING SUPERVISED
RELEASE AND JUDGEMENT**

8 v.

9 JAY H. JOHNSON,

10 Defendant(s).

11 _____ /
12
13 This matter came on pursuant to an Order to Show Cause why supervised release should not
14 be revoked. Defendant appeared in person with his attorney Steven Kalar. The United States was
15 represented by Assistant United States Attorney Andrew Scoble.

16 The defendant was advised of the following:

17 1. His right to a hearing on the alleged violations of supervised release;

18 2. His right to confront and cross-examine witnesses;

19 3. His right to produce evidence and witnesses at the hearing without cost to him if he
20 could not afford the same;

21 4. His right to continue to have court appointed counsel represent him throughout the
22 proceedings; and

23 5. The nature of the revocation proceedings and the consequences if a violation was
24 found and supervised release revoked or modified.

25 The court finds that defendant was fully advised of his constitutional and statutory rights in
26 connection with these proceedings either as a basis for modification or revocation; that he fully
27 understands the nature of the proceeding and the defenses that he may assert in the proceeding; that
28 he fully understands the consequences of the proceeding; and that he freely and voluntarily waives

1 his right to a hearing, his counsel consenting thereto.

2 The court finds that the defendant has admitted to the violations as alleged in the petition to
3 revoke and that such violations are sufficient cause to revoke supervised release.

4

5 Charge 1: Violation of Standard Condition which states that defendant shall not commit
6 another federal, state, or local crime in that on May 14, 2008 was arrested and
7 charged with violations of CHSC § 11352(a) - Sale or Distribution of a
8 Controlled Substance, CPC 182 - Conspiracy, and CPC § 148 - Resisting or
9 Obstructing a Peace Officer.

10 Charge 2: Violation of Standard Condition number eight which states the defendant
11 shall refrain from the use of any controlled substance, except as prescribed by
12 a physician in that on April 16, 2008, the defendant submitted a urine sample
13 which tested positive for marijuana

14 Based on the foregoing,

15 IT IS ADJUDGED that supervised release is hereby REVOKED, and that defendant is
16 REMANDED into the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for a term of
17 eighteen (18) months. No further supervision ordered.

18

19

20 Dated: August 29, 2008



MARILYN HALL PATEL
United States District Court

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28